

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,395	01	/02/2004	Yoshihiro Hara	247238US3	2853
22850	7590	09/23/2005		EXAM	INER
,		ICCLELLAND,	MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J		
	KE STREET IDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	<b>,</b>			3747	
	·			D. (   D. ) ( ) (   D. ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/749,395	HARA, YOSHIHIRO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marguerite J. McMahon	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	- action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
·							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	, , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informat Pa						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

# Specification

A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter. In addition, it would be very helpful if the specification could provide specific guidance as to the whereabouts of the various element discussed. For example, communication port 81C was referenced as being located in Figures 1-13. After some searching, the examiner finally located this element in Figure 11. This occurs with most of the elements throughout the specification, which makes deciphering the invention very cumbersome. Note that the amendment to the specification, which was provided, in response to the first Office Action, does not resolve the problems.

## **Drawings**

It is suggested that Applicant include a single Figure, which clearly shows the relationship between the breather chamber, the crankcase, the cam chamber, the magnet case, and any other elements, which Applicant deems important enough to be included in the claims. All the various elements appear to be included in the various Figures, but the relationship between the various chambers and other elements is not shown in any of the Figures. It's all piecemeal, and the examiner can only guess as to how the various elements fit together.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the main opening, the first case, the first portion disposed in the crankcase, and the second portion disposed

Art Unit: 3747

in the first case, the communication chamber, and, with respect to claims 2 and 6, the details of the cam chamber must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3747

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura (6,460,524). Kimura shows a breather device of an engine comprising a first case having a first portion and a second portion (see Figure 6), a gasket 70 disposed in the first case between the first and second portions of the breather device, the gasket having a communication port 71 configured to allow communication between the first and second portions, a gas discharge port 48 disposed in the second portion, an oil outlet 49, the separated gas leaving the breather device through the gas discharge port and the separated oil leaving the breather device through the oil outlet. Kimura shows everything except employing first and second communication ports, the main opening being located in the second portion, and a clear showing of the relationship of the breather device to the other engine parts as cited in the claims, which the instant invention also does not show.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a first and second communication port in lieu of a single communication port, as the device would function in the same way. It would have been quite conceivable to simply divide

Art Unit: 3747

the communication port in two in order to provide slightly more oil separating capacity.

This would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claims 2 and 6, the cam chamber 73 is shown only in the most cursory way in the Drawings and the examiner is convinced that claims 2 and 6 are not supported by the specification. Perhaps the language is intended to refer to the breather chamber rather than the cam chamber.

With respect to claims 3 and 8, the oil passage introducing oil from the oil pan to the valve chamber and then the cam chamber is not shown, but this is conventional, and would have been obvious.

With respect to claim 10, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize ribs in the breather chamber, in order to provide improved oil separation characteristics as well as to reinforce the breather structure itself, as this is well known in the breather art.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3747

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

